

IRWM Grant Program Draft 2012 Guidelines and Round 2 PSPs

Public Comment Meetings

August 14, 2012 – Santa Rosa
August 15, 2012 – Sacramento
August 16, 2012 – Lancaster



Room Briefing

- Facilities - State Board
- Please look around now and identify two exits closest to you. In some cases, an exit may be behind you. In the event of a fire alarm, we are required to evacuate this room. Please take your valuables with you and do not use the elevators. While staff will endeavor to assist you to the nearest exit, you should also know that you may find an exit door by following the ceiling mounted exit signs. Evacuees will exit down the stairways and possibly to a relocation site across the street. If you cannot use stairs, you will be directed to a protective vestibule inside a stairwell. Should we have to relocate out of the building, please obey all traffic signals and exercise caution crossing the street.

Sacramento Meeting

- Web broadcast at:
<http://www.calepa.ca.gov/broadcast>
- Email questions or comments to:
dwr_irwm@water.ca.gov

Agenda

- Introductions
- Overview of Draft Guidelines (GL) and PSPs
- Question and Answer
- Public Comment

Draft Documents Released: 6/28/2012

- IRWM Program 2012 GL
 - Grant Program Overview: Funding, Eligibility, etc.
 - General Program Requirements: IRWM Plan Standards etc.
 - Guidelines for Grantees
- Round 2 PSPs
 - Proposition 84, Implementation Grants (IG)
 - Proposition 1E, Stormwater Flood Management Grants (SWFMG)
 - Round 2 Specific Information: Funding, Schedule, etc.
 - Application Instructions
 - Application Review and Scoring Criteria

What's New

- Few Updates/Changes to 2010 GL and Round 1 PSPs
- Most Changes Were Made In Response To
 - Legislative Requirements
 - Programmatic Issues
 - Process Improvement Workshops
 - DWR held five workshops in December 2011
 - Roundtable of Regions (ROR) Survey

2012 Guidelines Overview/Updates

- Removal of Reference to Planning Grant Program
- Changes to Climate Change Plan Standard
 - 2010 Guidelines Required:
 - Adaptation to effects of climate change
 - Mitigation of GHG emissions
 - 2012 Guidelines Require Vulnerability Analysis:
 - Evaluation of IRWM Region's vulnerabilities
 - List of prioritized vulnerabilities
- IRWM Regions Receiving Water From Delta
 - Plan Will Help Reduce Dependence on Delta for Water Supply
- RAP Open Filing Process

Overview of IG PSP

- Round 2 is 1-Step Process
- Funding: \$131M
- Maximum Grant: Varies
- Minimum Funding Match: 25%
- Regional Competition
 - Inter-IRWM Region Agreements Shape Competition

Updates/Changes to IG PSP

- IRWM Plan Questionnaire
 - To Determine IRWM Regions Progress Towards Meeting 2012 Plan Standards
- Eligibility For IRWM Regions Receiving Water From Delta
 - Proposed Projects and Programs Shall Be Components of an IRWM Plan That Will Help Reduce Dependence on Delta for Water Supply

Updates/Changes to IG PSP

- DAC Assistance
 - 2010 Guidelines Based On 2000 Census and MHI
 - 2012 Guidelines Use American Communities Survey
 - 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year MHI estimates
 - DWR uses 5-year estimates
 - MHI = \$60,883
 - 80% MHI = \$48,706
 - Developed Web-Based GIS Mapping Tool to Assist in Identification of DACs

Updates/Changes to IG PSP

- DAC Assistance
 - Expanded Funding Match Waiver Eligibility for DACs
 - Round 1 limited to projects that meet a critical water supply or water quality need of a DAC
 - Now available for projects that provide any benefit to DAC
 - Provided Additional Guidance for Critical Water Supply and Water Quality Projects for DACs
 - Program Preference and Funding Target require demonstration that a project meets a critical water supply or water quality need of a DAC
 - Examples of project provided in Table 9 of 2012 Guidelines

Overview/Updates to SWFMG PSP

- No Seismic Funding Target
- Funding: \$92M
- Maximum Grant: \$30M/project
- Minimum Funding Match: 50%
- Statewide Competition
- IRWM Region May Submit Multiple Applications From Individual Agencies
- Application May Contain Multiple Projects

Updates/Changes Common to Both PSPs

- IRWM Plan Adopted by 9/30/08
 - Plan Submittal Not Required
 - RWMG Agrees to Update Within 2-yrs of IG Agreement Execution (Consent Form)
- IRWM Plan Adopted after 9/30/08
 - Plan Meets Proposition 84 Plan Standards
 - Plan Must Be Submitted For Completeness Review
- All Project Proponents Must Adopt IRWM Plan

Updates/Changes Common to Both PSPs

- Eligibility Requirements
 - Agricultural Water Management Plan Compliance
 - Surface Water Diversion Reporting
 - CASGEM
 - GWMP Self-Certification

Updates/Changes Common to Both PSPs


- Reformat of Economic Analysis Section
- Technical Justification of Projects
 - Presentation of Project Physical Benefits
 - Demonstration That Projects Are Technically Feasible and Can Yield Benefits claimed
- Benefits and Cost Analysis
 - RWMG Method
 - DWR Method
 - Four analysis options
- Scores Based On Collective Relationship of Benefit to Cost

Technical Justification of Projects

- Presentation of Projects' Physical Benefits
 - Must Be Clearly Described and Quantified (Where Applicable)
 - Magnitude of Benefits or Costs Will Not Be Scored
- Evaluation Based on the Following Items With Respect to Physical Benefits:
 - Technical Analysis
 - Alternative Analysis
 - State of Project Development
 - Supporting Documentation
 - Feasibility studies, modeling results, survey results

Benefits and Cost Analysis

- Magnitude of Benefits and Costs Will Be Considered
- Method of Analysis
 - RWMG Method – Your Choice
 - DWR Method – As Appropriate:
 - Cost Effectiveness Analysis
 - Non-Monetized Benefit Analysis
 - Monetized Benefits Analysis
 - Flood Damage Reduction Benefit Analysis
- Benefits Analyzed Must Be Consistent With Physical Benefits Presented



Application Submittal Tool

- Pending Name Change: BMS to GRanTS (Grants Review and Tracking System)
- Those With Existing Accounts Will Not Need to Create New Accounts

Round 2 Schedule

Revise Program Guidelines & SWFM PSPs	Anticipated Date
Draft Revised Guidelines and PSPs for Public Review & Comment	July 2012
Final Round 2 Guidelines , Implementation & SWFM PSPs	October 2012
SWFM Grants	
Applications Due	December 2012
Draft Recommendations for Public Review & Comment	May 2013
Announce Final Awards	July 2013
Implementation Grants	
Applications Due	March 2013
Draft Recommendations for Public Review & Comment	August 2013
Announce Final Awards	September 2013

Anticipated Round 3 Schedule

IRWM Round 3 Implementation Grants	Anticipated Date
Step 1 - Plan Evaluation Phase	
Applications Due	<i>Spring 2014</i>
Release Draft Call Back List for Public Review & Comment	<i>Fall 2014</i>
Release Final Call Back List	<i>Fall 2014</i>
Step 2 - Project Evaluation Phase	
Applications Due	<i>Early 2015</i>
Draft Recommendations for Public Review & Comment	<i>Mid-2015</i>
Announce Final Awards	<i>Summer 2015</i>

Funding Status

- Round 1 Awards
 - SWFMG = \$178M
 - IG = \$205M
- Round 2 Funding
 - SWFMG = Approximately \$92M
 - IRWM Implementation = Approximately \$131M
 - DAC funding target (Approximately 13%)
 - Maintain use of Funding Area Allocation Schedule
- Round 3 Funding
 - IRWM Implementation = \$472.5M

P 84 Funds for Future Awards

Funding Area	Remaining Balance	% Remaining End Round 1	Round 2 @ \$131M
North Coast	\$25,133,939	68%	\$5,386,000
San Francisco Bay	\$93,980,130	68%	\$20,086,000
Central Coast	\$27,388,044	53%	\$7,569,000
LA-Ventura	\$144,708,554	67%	\$31,294,000
Santa Ana	\$91,149,996	80%	\$16,671,000
San Diego	\$69,763,987	77%	\$13,245,000
Sacramento River	\$46,724,344	64%	\$10,626,000
San Joaquin River	\$36,033,774	63%	\$8,296,000
Tulare/Kern	\$33,049,935	55%	\$8,734,000
Lahontan	\$13,705,051	51%	\$3,930,000
Colorado River	\$21,940,000	61%	\$5,240,000

Questions?

Comments

- Due **August 24, 2012 5:00 pm**
- E-mail in MS Word compatible format to:
dwr_irwm@water.ca.gov
- Subject Line "Guidelines/PSPs Comments"
- Mail to:
PO Box 942836
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001
Attention: Zaffar Eusuff
- Hand Deliver to:
901 P Street Room 213
Sacramento, CA 95814
Attention: Zaffar Eusuff